

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,878	11/26/2003	Claudia Pinzon	682.0043USUI	1663	
75	90 08/18/2005		EXAM	INER	
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.			OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS		
Ohlandt, Greele 10th Floor	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
One Landmark Square			1751		
Stamford, CT	06901-2682		DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,878	PINZON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Necholus Ogden	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	lay 2005.		
·—	action is non-final.		
. 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward			s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No	
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
application from the International Burea		ou in this Hattorial Otago	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/05. 	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/722,878

Art Unit: 1751

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufay et al.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the prior art, Dufay et al, do not teach or suggest the claimed invention because it does not provide any motivation to pick or choose the essential ingredients as claimed.

The examiner contends and respectfully disagrees because applicant's invention is drawn to a broad list of surfactants and waxes, which can encompass a number of possibilities (see claim 1). Dufay et al merely list well-known surfactants and waxes that may be employed, wherein many of them encompass the claimed invention.

With respect to picking and choosing, it is established that the office is presenting a case of prima facie obviousness wherein it is admitted that Dufay et al do not teach with sufficient specificity each of the claimed components in an example that would establish a statutory bar. Therefore, the skilled artisan would have been motivated to pick a wax, anionic and nonionic surfactant to encompass the claimed invention, absent a showing to the contrary.

A reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill the art, including nonpreferred embodiments. Merck & Co. v. Biocraft Laboratories, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied,493 U.S. 975 (1989).

Application/Control Number: 10/722,878

Art Unit: 1751

With further respect to applicant's unexpected results, mere statements of surprising results are not sufficient to overcome a prima facie case of obviousness.

Applicant is suggested to provide data in the form of 1.132 Declaration or Affidavit to support his position.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

No 8-16-05